UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHAWN DAMON BARTH,

Plaintiff,

V.

WILLIAM MUNIZ, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>18-cv-01242-WHO</u> (PR)

ORDER DISMISSING FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff Shawn Damon Barth has failed to file an amended complaint that complies with my instructions. His first complaint was dismissed with leave to amend because it raised "many unrelated, and factually insufficient, claims against his jailors in a confusing and verbose 55 page complaint, to which he . . . attached 316 pages of exhibits." (Order Dismissing the Complaint With Leave to Amend, Dkt. No. 6 at 1.) I directed him to file an amended complaint of no more than 10 pages; narrow his allegations to claims related by fact and law; and to plead specific facts in support of his claims. (*Id.* at 2-3.)

Despite having more than 60 days to complete this task, Barth's amended complaint remains a jumble of mostly unrelated claims. The new complaint is 17 pages long and contains unrelated claims, many of which lack any specific factual support. He claims, for instance, that his jailors have inflicted cruel and unusual punishment on him by transferring him to many prisons over a short time, subjecting him to abuse at the hands of

inmates and staff; denied him his First Amendment right to access the courts; denied his due process right to safety and health; denied him proper medical care, protection from other inmates, and proper food, all in violation of the Eighth Amendment; denied him privileges granted to other inmates; and more.

Federal pleading rules require that claims be based on "the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences" and pose a "question of law or fact common to all defendants." Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(2). Barth's complaint fails to comply with this rule, and he has failed to follow my directions in the Order dismissing his first complaint. I again order him file an amended complaint on or before **January 28, 2019** if he wishes to proceed in this matter. He should pick one closely related set of claims—related both by the legal claims asserted and the facts involved, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 20(a)(2). He must limit the amended complaint to no more than 10 pages and plead specific facts in support of his claims.

The first amended complaint is DISMISSED with leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the Court's directions. The amended complaint must include the caption and civil case number used in this order (18-01242 WHO (PR)) and the words SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT on the first page. Because an amended complaint completely replaces the previous complaints, plaintiff must include in his first amended complaint all the claims he wishes to present and all of the defendants he wishes to sue. *See Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff may not incorporate material from the prior complaint by reference. Because this new deadline provides Barth with a substantial amount of time, it is unlikely that any extensions of time will be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 29, 2018

WILLIAM H. ORRICK United States District Judge